```
TRACY L. WILKISON
 1
    Acting United States Attorney
 2
    BRANDON D. FOX/CHRISTOPHER D. GRIGG
    Assistant United States Attorneys
 3
    Chiefs, Criminal and National Security Divisions
    KAREN I. MEYER (Cal. Bar No. 220554)
 4
    DEVON MYERS (Cal. Bar No. 240031)
    Assistant United States Attorneys
    Violent & Organized Crime/Cyber & IP Crimes Sections
 5
         1300/1500 United States Courthouse
 6
         312 North Spring Street
         Los Angeles, California 90012
 7
         Telephone: (213) 894-8559/0649
         Facsimile: (213) 894-2927
 8
         E-mail:
                     kim.meyer@usdoj.gov
                     devon.myers@usdoj.gov
 9
    LAUREN S. KUPERSMITH
10
    KYLE P. REYNOLDS
    Trial Attorneys
11
    U.S. Dept. of Justice, Criminal Division
    Child Exploitation and Obscenity Section
         1301 New York Avenue, NW
12
         Washington DC 20005
13
         Telephone: (202) 514-1564
         Facsimile: (202) 514-1793
14
                     lauren.kupersmith@usdoj.gov
         E-mail:
                     Kyle.reynolds@usdoj.gov
15
    Attorneys for Plaintiff
16
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
17
                         UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
18
                    FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
19
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
                                         No. CR 17-404(B)-AB-1
20
              Plaintiff,
                                         GOVERNMENT'S OBJECTION REGARDING
                                         DEFENDANT HARRELL'S SUBMISSION
21
                                         RELATED TO HIS PROPOSED FACTUAL
                   V.
                                         BASIS FOR HIS UPCOMING CHANGE OF
22
    ARLAN WESLEY HARRELL, et al.,
                                         PLEA HEARING
23
              Defendants.
24
25
         Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its attorneys
26
    of record, the United States Attorney's Office for the Central
27
    District of California and Assistant United States Attorneys Devon
28
```


1	Myers and Karen I. Meyer and Department of Justice Trial Attorneys	
2	Lauren Kupersmith and Kyle P. Reynolds, hereby this Objection	
3	Regarding Defendant Arlan Wesley Harrell's Submission Related To His	
4	Proposed Factual Basis For His Upcoming Change Of Plea Hearing.	
5		
6	Dated: May 13, 2021 Re	spectfully submitted,
7	II .	ACY L. WILKISON ting United States Attorney
8		ANDON D. FOX/CHRISTOPHER D. GRIGG
9 10	As Ch	sistant United States Attorneys iefs, Criminal/National Security visions
11		
12		/s/ VON MYERS
13	II .	REN I. MEYER sistant United States Attorneys
14		torneys for Plaintiff
15		ITED STATES OF AMERICA
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

GOVERNMENT'S OBJECTION TO DEFENDANT'S FACTUAL BASIS SUBMISSION

Defendant Arlan Wesley Harrell ("defendant") has indicated that he intends to plead open to all counts of the Second Superseding Indictment ("SSI") in which he is named on May 14, 2021. On May 11, 2021, the government filed its Notice of Memorandum In Aid of Defendant Harrell's Change of Plea. (Docket Entry "DE" 276.) In it the government: (1) explained that it was unable to agree on a factual basis with the defense; (2) provided an explanation of why it believes a factual basis with non-legal language beyond the Second Superseding Indictment's ("SSI") statutory wording is necessary; and (3) submitted its proposed factual basis, among other items.

On May 12, 2021, defendant filed his own factual basis in support of his plea. (DE 279.) This document mistakenly includes the government's heading and a signature line with the government attorney's signature designated by an "/s/" and unsigned blanks for defense counsel and defendant. (Id.) As such, it appears that it is a document filed or proposed by the government, which it is not.

The government had previously sent a different version of this document to the defendant in an attempt to negotiate an agreed factual basis. The defendant sent back edits to the government, to which the government did not agree. It appears that what was filed as docket entry 279 is the defendant's edited version of the government's original document, but because it maintains the government's heading and signature block, it appears that it is the government's or a joint submission, which it is not. The document that defendant filed is only the defendant's version of the factual basis. Because it uses many legal terms of art, oversimplifies some

of the complex charges, and eliminates some critical facts, such as the age of the victims, the government believes this factual basis is insufficient for the Court to determine that the defendant understands "the nature of each charge to which the defendant is pleading." Fed. R. Crim. P. 11(b)(1)(G); DE 276 at 1-2.